

**SHELBYVILLE BUILDING COMMISSION
SHELBYVILLE CITY HALL
COMMON COUNCIL CHAMBERS**

DATE: January 13, 2016

TIME: 11:30 A.M.

CALL TO ORDER:

ROLL CALL:

READING OF THE MINUTES AND APPROVAL:

OLD BUSINESS:

NEW BUSINESS:

1. Electrical Exams –

MISCELLANEOUS BUSINESS:

1. Staff Reports & Updates
2. Election of Officers

ADJOURNMENT:

**SHELBYVILLE BUILDING COMMISSION
MEETING MINUTES
January 13, 2016**

David Wirrig: Okay, we're ready to start the January meeting of the Building Commission. Everybody have a chance to review the minutes?

Matt Wortman: Make a motion to approve the minutes.

Gary Keppel: Second.

Wirrig: Motion's been made to approve the minutes and seconded. All in favor, "Aye".

In Unison: Aye.

Wirrig: Opposed, same sign.

No reply.

Wirrig: Motion carries. Minutes are approved as written. Do we have any Old Business?

No reply.

Wirrig: Do we have any New Business?

No reply.

Wirrig: No exams?

No audible reply.

Wirrig: We'll move to the election of officers.

Jim Marshall: Mr. Chairman,

Wirrig: Before I open the floor to

Laughter.

Wirrig:in nominations for the election of officers, I would respectfully decline any nomination 'cause chances are I will have to resign my position on the board within the year.

Marshall: Well.....

Tony Nicholson: We had this all set up.

Wirrig: Now do we have any more nominations?

Nicholson: We had this already set up, Dave. We got re-think this now.

Wirrig: You gotta take some time to re-think and re-group?

Keppel: Is everybody here?

Marshall: Is anybody missing?

Wirrig: Nobody's missing. Everybody's here.

Daniel Bird: Who's the vice president at this time? It's not uh...

Wortman: Marshall, wasn't it?

Bird: Jim Marshall?

David Rush: Jim, I think.

Marshall: I can't think of anybody worse for that position.

Bird: Usually the vice president moves up.

Rush: Yep.

Marshall: I don't think that'd be a good idea at all.

Nicholson: Go ahead and make the motion. Get Marshall to....

Keppel: Mr. Chairman, in light of these tumultuous times, and in effort to keep some sense of continuity, I would like to make a motion that we nominate Mr. Marshall for that position and I would also like to move that we close the nominations.

Marshall: I might as well have stayed home.

Wirrig: It's been moved.....

Nicholson: Second it. I'll go ahead and second it.

Wirrig:and second that Mr. Marshall be nominated as the president of the Building Commission. All in favor?

In Unison: Aye.

Wirrig: All opposed?

Marshall: No.

Laughter.

Wirrig: Motion carries. The railroad has been laid.

Nicholson: Alright, I'll go ahead and make a motion for vice president. We need one of those just in case. I make a motion for Gary Keppel.

Marshall: I'll second it.

Keppel: I should've seen that one coming.

Wirrig: It's been moved and seconded that Mr. Keppel be nominated to the vice president position of the board or the Building Commission. All in favor?

In Unison: Aye.

Wirrig: All opposed?

No reply.

Wirrig: And the railroad tracks continue.

Nicholson: Alright.

Marshall: I'm going back to Parrish Lumber.

Wirrig: Election of officers is now concluded. Is there any other New Business?

Bird: This isn't under New Business, but it's under Status Report. I'd like to share with the Commission.....could you pass these out for me? What is being passed out is a draft of re-write of §155.31 Classes of....yeah, thank you, Classes of Licenses. Before I get into that, at this point, I'd like to go under some assumptions to be sure that the intent is correct. First of all, the purpose is started out to clarify electrical code regarding the classes of license. It's understood

that in order for an individual to work in the City of Shelbyville, he or she must obtain an electrical license. There are four types of electrical licenses that are currently recognized, electrical contractor, electrical journeyman, electrical apprentice and a residential homeowner who desires to do electrical work as defined in the ordinance in his or her home. If you own a company that does electrical work, the company must have a licensed contractor employed by that company who in turn can employ journeymen and apprentices. At some times, the owner has the electrical license and sometimes not. It goes with the definition of a electrical contractor which I've included that under the sections the quadruple XX section definitions. Electrical contractor is to be considered any individual, who for hire, does himself or herself or by employing others to do the same on his or her behalf installs, alters, repairs and replaces designs or performs other work to the electrical system. As stated in the draft I gave you, it deals specifically with the electrical license and the copy I gave you, the new provisions are highlighted in yellow. The provisions that have been edited out are crossed out and that's what is at issue today. In terms of the status (?), certainly the electrical ordinance, if we do eliminate classes and use these terminologies as noted, the four noted, that would have to be edited out of the code. I would like to make one other comment and that's under §155.36 Restrictions of Certain License Classes and Supervisors. There has been some discussion back and forth that what does this mean, and sometimes it wasn't related as it deals with the section I just talked about. It's quite clear that the holder of a in this case, electrical contractor license in the provisions of this code shall be a person to whom a license has been issued. And this is important right here, the same person shall not be designated as a license holder in more than one firm, corporation or the like. We have had two cases where that were brought to the board to define this and under special circumstances. I would indicate that both of those have been resolved at this point, one a long time ago and one most recently. I remind the board that or anyone else interested in this that the board has several functions. One of the functions that it has is under its powers and duties, under §152.17 is to act as an appellate authority on all appeals of licenses and permits and denials and grants, and so the board has exercised that function. One more editorial comment is that if there are violations or things that need to be brought to the board as an appellate act, the board can exercise judgement not only over let's say it's a violation, not only fining somebody, but also fining the company that is responsible for the employee. I hope all that clarifies. I hope it doesn't confuse, but those are the assumptions that we're working toward in completing the code. I'd like you to look over that (?) completed adjusted copy if those assumptions are correct (?) have any others. Happy to answer any questions at this point. I know there may be people in the audience that have any comments and certainly those comments are welcome and in fact, we'll get the final amended copy back to the Commission prior to going to the Ordinance Committee of Council, we would send that out since it's electrical code, to the electricians within the that are licensed within the city.

Marshall: You're eliminating Class II licenses?

Bird: Yes. It's our understanding that that hasn't been an issue and we no longer issue licenses for maintenance electricians that work at like Ryobi or Knauf or some large industry like that, only on internal stuff.

Marshall: Do you have anybody that currently holds a Class II license?

Bird: What?

Marshall: Do you have anybody that currently holds a Class II license?

Bird: I can't hear you.

Marshall: Do you have anybody that currently holds a Class II license?

Bird: No.

Lisa Loveless: We haven't had for several years.

Bird: Haven't had for several years.

Keppel: What do all the factories do? I mean do they have electricians in their employ or....

Bird: Yes. Now in terms of inspections, if they are bringing in new utilities to the site, they are contact our inspectors to look at that. But anything internal to the plant.....

Joe Runnebohm: So the way I, the factories if they're doing changing fixtures or whatever, they don't have to get no permits?

Bird: No, no.

Runnebohm: Okay.

Bird: That's correct.

Loveless: Not if their employees are doing it.

Runnebohm: Right.

Marshall: The verbiage here about residential homeowner, upon completion of the electrical exam with a passing score of 80% or higher, what is it now?

Bird: We have a test that we give homeowners who want to do their own electrical work and they have to have 80% correct.

Marshall: So what's new about this?

Bird: That's not new. That shouldn't be underlined.

Loveless: We just didn't have anything in there that specified what....

Marshall: 80%, but that's what you....

Loveless: Well we didn't have anything that specified what a residential homeowner's license was.

Bird: Oh okay that is....you're correct. That is new. It's clarifying it. Now quite frankly, some issues came up about why do I need a permit to do electrical work in my own house. That came up, I believe at a Board of Works meeting or other meetings, and that's the decision that was made as part of the way the code is currently written. We even had one recently. Former sheriff Bowlby was building a pole barn, the largest one in Shelby County, but anyway he wanted to do his own electrical work, so he had to come in and take an exam.

Runnebohm: The only question I have, does this clarify that if a company hires an electrical contractor and he buys all the license, can he buy 'em for other companies?

Bird: No.

Runnebohm: He cannot buy 'em for any other.....

Bird: He would be licensed for that particular company.

Runnebohm: Okay.

Bird: Be an employee of that company.

Marshall: Where does that say that, Dann? I'm lost.

Rush: On that second 2 page handout, back page, part B.

Runnebohm: Yeah, where is that?

Rush: Part B on the right hand column, the top paragraph.

Runnebohm: Okay.

Bird: Do you have that?

Marshall: I'm still....

Rush: Or I think that's the one, isn't it?

Marshall: Oh, here.

Rush: No, I'm wrong.

Bird: Let me again, I'll read that directly to you. It's under §155.36 Restrictions on Certain License Classes and Supervisors and it....

Rush: Wrong page.

Runnebohm: Okay.

Bird: Page....

Rush: It's Part A.

Bird:123. I don't know if you have the

Runnebohm: Yeah.

Rush: Yes.

Bird:code with you.

Rush: Yes.

Bird: David does. The holder of a Class I, which in this case is electrical contractor or licensed under the provisions of this chapter shall be person to whom the license is issued. The same person shall not be designated as a license holder in more than one firm, corporation and the like.

Marshall: And that's as it's written now? This is ...

Runnebohm: So like....

Marshall:the code that's, this is the statute? That's not a change?

Bird: Correct.

Runnebohm: So this has always been in there?

Bird: Correct.

Runnebohm: But I don't think we've been enforcing it to this?

(?): Correct.

Loveless: It's been in there since 2010.

Bird: We've had one case in or two cases I had indicated. The other case was brought before the board under certain circumstances and the board exercised its ability to grant approval and extension in obtaining the electrical contractor.

Keppel: Dann, I'm just curious, looking out maybe for the end user and customer, if I understand this, when that person leaves, his license under that corporation is done, at least as an employee.

Bird: Correct and they are required to notify us of that,....

Keppel: Right.

Bird:but that's not always the case.

Keppel: Right, but I guess what I'm asking is you know things do happen. Say somebody gets mad and quits out of the blue, it's not expected. There are permits pulled. They're doing work for people. Do those permits immediately get canceled and therefore the work can't get completed? Or can it be completed by the employee that pulled 'em whether he's employed by that firm or not?

Bird: There usually staid until the company, the company's responsible for the permit.

Loveless: If that company hires another electrical contractor and gets us that paperwork and everything, they can continue on under that permit.

Bird: Yes.

Loveless: If not, that permit is void and whoever does finish that electrical work, they have to be licensed and pull their own permit.

Keppel: I guess where I'm going with that, if that would happen even though it may cost the company some money, they don't have to get another employee of their own, they can just go out and get an electrician and say hey, go finish this?

Loveless: They would have to be employed by them. Otherwise, if they're not, then whatever electrician they would hire, would have to be licensed with us and pull their own permit. Permits are non-transferable and non-refundable.

Keppel: Okay.

Loveless: As long as they've hired someone to work for them that was working under their bond and certificate of insurance, they could finish it.

Keppel: The customer could get screwed in that one.

Roger Hickman:(inaudible)....Gary brings up a good point. When you have that situation, somebody gets mad and quits, what's written this way, you don't have the situation where you have a gap between time where you don't have(inaudible)....The company owns the license and the employee that owns the company has the license, you don't have to ever worry about there being a time without nobody that's licensed. That's why that was designated that way.

Bird: Yeah but if the employee quits and

Hickman:(inaudible)....what you're trying to implement, but if the owner of the company owns the license you don't have that. You don't run into that situation. That's why it's the way it was and that's how why it was enforced that way until the last few years.

Several people talking at once. No one is clearly audible.

Tom DeBaun: As a point of clarification, we don't license corporations. We license individuals, correct?

Loveless: Yes.

DeBaun: Jim Marshall, Incorporated can't come in and take a contractor's test. Jim Marshall the individual comes in and takes a contractor's test.

Marshall: Correct.

Loveless: Right.

DeBaun: So if Jim Marshall, the individual works for Bob Smith, Incorporated and pulled a license under Bob Smith, Incorporated, he quits and leaves. He's still a licensed contractor with the City of Shelbyville. He's just no longer employed by Bob Smith.

Loveless: Right.

Marshall: And Bob Smith....

DeBaun: License follows the individual, not the contractor.

Loveless: That's right. So....

Marshall: So Bob Smith could really do any work because they don't have a contractor.

Loveless: That's correct.

DeBaun: Correct. They would have to find another contractor who has met the licensing requirements to come in....(inaudible)...

Loveless: That's right.

Marshall: And conversely, and I guess that was getting ready to be my question then. Conversely, you do not have to be an owner or a majority stockholder or some sort of ownership position.

DeBaun: You're an employee of the corporation. You're licensed as the individual who's taken the test and qualified.

Marshall: Okay.

Hickman: Right, but Tom in the ordinance it says the person who holds the license is the person that's allowed to employ people. Not the firm that you're working for is allowed to employ people, but the person carrying the license.

DeBaun: I haven't seen it, Roger. I just would like to see it. Thank you.

Inaudible mumbling.

Loveless: Likewise if that contractor, the person who holds the contractor license leaves and goes is no longer under their employ, they don't automatically lose their electrical contractor license. The only way that they would lose that license is if they did not give me a new bond and certificate of insurance for whatever company they are working for. Or if they're going out on their own, they would have to supply that. But if they don't meet those requirements to keep the license up, they would lose the license. But as long as they meet the requirements and keep it up every year, they don't lose their license.

DeBaun: So when I read this.....

Marshall: Where are you?

DeBaun:I guess the question to Roger. When I read this Roger, the way it says to me is that if I have a contractor license, I can employ....

Marshall: Where are you reading, Tom?

DeBaun: Section B of §155.31. So if I hold a contractor's license, I can perform any work, blah, blah, blah and I can also employ licensed electricians for that purpose.

Hickman: You as the cardholder?

DeBaun: Right.

Hickman: (?) the company that you work for?

DeBaun: Correct.

Hickman: Well that person that has the name on the license, all the people working under him should be registered and listed under his name and his license.

DeBaun: I don't think that....this speaks to an individual, say David Rush. If David Rush wants to hire an electrician to work for him, he can.

Hickman: Right 'cause it's his license.

DeBaun: But I don't think that this says that Knauf has to do the same thing, does it?

(?): No.

Hickman: That would be their maintenance....(inaudible)...

Bird: No.

DeBaun: I'm just trying to think of an example of a company.

Hickman: Inaudible comment.

DeBaun: Okay. What is the root of the issue? Quite frankly, what is it we're trying to solve here or address? What is the perceived problem that we're trying to address?

Bird: The initial problem was that the owner of the company had to carry the electrical license, take the test and take the electrical license.

DeBaun: Says who?

Bird: That was one of the.....two things were brought up. That the owner had to be had to have the license.

DeBaun: Says who? Is that written in our code somewhere?

Bird: I don't think it is and that's a matter of interpretation. The idea was to clarify that.

DeBaun: Okay.

Bird: Okay.

DeBaun: Is that a requirement of the State of Indiana in some way, shape or form?

Bird: No.

DeBaun: Okay so it becomes an individual policy for the municipality? There is no mandate that requires that it be written that way?

Wirrig: No.

Bird: Correct.

DeBaun: Okay.

Bird: The other issue is if a person could hold two electrical licenses with two different firms, their own firm or another firm and that's....

DeBaun: So for example say if I made a master mechanic, certified by whoever certifies master mechanics, and I work for Hubler Ford as their master mechanic and I work as myself in my garage on the weekends doing jobs, is that the same type of example?

Runnebohm: Or another electrical company. Say you work for this electrical company, you're buying the license and then this other electrical company does not have a license and you're buying them for them. That's not right.

DeBaun: Are you performing the work? Are you pulling the license as Joe Runnebohm, the individual in one case and for XYZ Corporation in the other case, Joe? Or are you saying that the individual's only entitled to pull one license?

Runnebohm: That's my opinion, yeah. I don't think he should be able to buy for multiple companies. I think that's a.....

DeBaun: If he's performing the work, Dann, you're in the way. If he's performing the work and he is licensed and bonded for both instances and those are being inspected, what makes that wrong?

Runnebohm: 'Cause he's probably not performing the work. He's have apprentices working under him.

DeBaun: Would that be different than any other situation?

Runnebohm: To me, if you do that, then what's the use of even having license? If you're buying 'em and you're just selling your license is what it sounds to me like. You're working for this guy for say a dollar a year so you can buy license and you're doing that for every company. I don't, that just don't sound right to me at all.

DeBaun: No, I understand your point. I guess my point is in any corporation where they have a licensed contractor and he has a journeyman and apprentice on site, isn't there some provision we require for oversight saying that the contractor or the journeyman has to be there to provide supervision at all times?

Wirrig: Uh huh.

DeBaun: Okay.

Marshall: What if an individual, who had an electrical contractor's license, had two corporations. Perhaps he was a double-(?) operation meaning he had an open shop and a union shop. In that case, there would be two corporations. It might be the same person. Or in the case of if a joint venture was formed to perform a particular project that he himself and his employees could not handle or didn't feel like they wanted to take on that much risk....

DeBaun: Yeah we contractors teaming up on jobs all the time.

Marshall:and formed a joint venture. In that instance, there might be or in case there was an instance there was some special expertise they need (?) but they wanted to maintain their other business or for liability purposes, they wanted to have you know that separated out or something. I could see that as an issue. I can't think of one instance since I've been on this board where that's come up, but I know it does happen places and could potentially happen here.

DeBaun: Right.

Marshall: And it might be an avenue that would enable smaller (?) contractors to become involved in some projects that perhaps they wouldn't be otherwise.

DeBaun: Sure.

Loveless: I've been here 26 years. We've only had this twice. The first individual, that wasn't an ordinance at that time. That ordinance was enacted in 2010 and I did not remember that when it all came up this past year with Ron and the ordinance. And so we told him that yes, he could do that. And then yesterday when Dann and I were going over all of this, Dann read that and we were like, I need to apologize because we allowed something that we should not have allowed.

So in 26 years, this has been an instance twice and now we're very well aware that the ordinance does not allow that and it won't be continued. I mean we won't allow it in the future. If someone wants to come to the board and ask for that and you guys grant it, then that's one thing. But as a general rule in the office, we will no longer allow that and I apologize for allowing it.

Marshall: Well I know Dann had mentioned before that there's some latitude with that on a case by case basis that we do have the authority to make those kind of exceptions.

Loveless: Right. You all have the authority to grant something outside the scope.

DeBaun: So is the answer we don't need to do anything at this point. It is prohibited by our own code?

Runnebohm: That's the way I read it as. It says may not be designated to license holder for more than one firm.

DeBaun: Okay. And so then if a situation arises again, that individual or corporation needs to come to the Building Commission and ask for.....

Loveless: Right.

DeBaun:essentially a waiver of that standard.

Marshall: And we are, we can do that?

DeBaun: You can.

Runnebohm: You know like a just recent death or something, we could postpone it for.....

Marshall: Well that kind of takes care of the what if situation.

Runnebohm: Yeah.

DeBaun: I think so. So now we realize that Dann made a mistake. I'll dock him a day's pay and we'll go on.

Nicholson: Hallelujah!

Loveless: He didn't make it. I did.

DeBaun: Alright, mission accomplished.

Wirrig: Nothing from nothing's still nothing.

DeBaun: That's right.

Loveless: Thank you.

Wirrig: I think we need to agree to the draft so that Lisa and Dann and Chris and Rod can continue with their review of the

Nicholson: Are you gonna go through the this same portion and make changes that...

Bird: Yes.

Nicholson:you know like that Class II, just take that out of where it's showing up and stuff?

Bird: Yeah.

Wirrig: So we're kind of blessing the direction you're going and they'll continue that into the rest of the ordinance to bring it up to date and up to speed....(inaudible)....for what we're understanding we're working with now.

Keppel: Does it need a motion?

Inaudible reply.

Keppel: Mr. Chairman, I would make a motion that we commend the staff of the Building Department for their hard work in this and that we ask them to proceed with the changes as written. Need a second.

Wortman: Second that motion.

Wirrig: It's been moved and seconded that we, as Gary's expressed. We thank the staff for their work on this part and this is the direction we intend to go and they'll continue to work on the rest of the ordinance to clean it up and bring it up to date. All in favor?

In Unison: Aye.

Wirrig: All opposed, same sign?

No reply.

Wirrig: Motion carries.

Bird: The next item on the agenda is that Chris has a brief report and we'll follow that up with the statistics that he sites.

Chris Hext: Lisa and Dann prepared and gave you a little packet on yearly stats. This is a pretty comprehensive with regard to the number of permits in general that have been issued in 2015. They're divided into building permits, electrical permits, plumbing permits, wrecking,

mechanical permits. There's quite a lot. 2015 we issued probably not, we've had a good year back in for building permits back in 2012. This year was 129 building permits, 274 electrical permits and the others are spelled out down there which brought in revenue in permits on those was about \$175,000.00. And that was for a total cost of construction across the board for the city, within the city limits of just a little over \$27 million in construction costs going on currently in the city. You'll see on your list that in 2014, it was considerably more and that was because the hospital came and pulled their permits for over a \$100 million and put us way up over there. Everything is categorized down here that you can look at. In 2015, new residential permits those are subdivided into how many were single family homes, apartment units, condos, mobile homes, etc. and the total cost of construction on the residential was just over \$6 million. Commercial permits, obviously considerably more coming in at over \$15 million bringing that total for the year up to just over \$27 million. Total new permits for commercial work in the city in 2015 were 40, of which 20 were new structures. I think Lisa and Dann have done a pretty comprehensive study of all the permits issued and so that may give you just a rough idea of how the city is doing. Sometimes I stand here and tell you what we are working on and what's coming in. This month has been pretty slow and December, but it but we're still working on the 2014 stuff, including the hospital so this doesn't reflect that we're having a slow year if we're only pulling in that amount of money over the year before. We're still working on stuff that's probably two years old you know. So are there any questions on any of this?

Nicholson: So did you get a bonus for that most money collected?

Hext: Wouldn't that be nice, Tony?

Nicholson: I mean you got you collected the most money.

Hext: I would entertain a motion.

Marshall: Will they declare a dividend this year?

Nicholson: I mean if we're taking Dann's money, maybe we could give it to you.

Laughter.

Marshall: Can we use some of it to turn the heat on?

Hext: Well it's a little map. It gives you an idea of what we do and how busy we are given the number of staff we have. Thank you.

Nicholson: Thank you.

Wirrig: Thank you, Chris.

Keppel: Before a motion is made to adjourn, I think it would be nice if we all recognized the exemplary job that Mr. Wirrig did this past year.

Wirrig: Thank you.

Marshall: Temptuous (?) tumult he guided the ship upon calm seas.

Keppel: And with that, I would like to make a motion to adjourn.

Nicholson: Second.

Marshall: And the lengthy speech I had prepared until you plugged the plug on the whole thing.

Wirrig: That was kind of the idea.

Nicholson: Hey, when you come back president, you can talk all you want.

Laughter.

Wirrig: It's been moved and seconded we adjourn. All in favor?

In Unison: Aye.

Wirrig: Opposed, same sign?

No reply.

Wirrig: Thank you.

Meeting adjourned.

David Wirrig, Chairman


Lisa Loveless, Secretary

DRAFT

§155.31 LICENSING

- (A) ~~Four types~~ Five classes of electrical licenses and certificates therefore shall be issued by the Building Commission, which shall be known respectively as ~~Class I,~~ electrical contractor's license; ~~Class II,~~ electrical maintenance license; ~~Class III,~~ electrical journeyman license; and ~~Class IV,~~ electrical apprentice license, and a residential homeowner's license.
- (B) An electrical contractor ~~Class I~~ license shall entitle the holder thereof to undertake, to execute or perform any work of installing, maintaining, altering, or repairing electrical equipment. and The ~~Class I~~ electrical contractor license shall also permit and entitle the holder thereof to employ licensed electricians (journeymen and apprentices) for these purposes.
- (C) ~~A Class II license shall entitle the holder thereof to execute or perform the work of installing, maintaining, altering, and repairing electrical equipment as a part of his or her maintenance job with a local business employer. This work shall be confined to premises owned and described on the license.~~
- A residential homeowner, upon completion of the electrical homeowner's exam, with a passing score of 80% or higher, can be granted a residential homeowner's license that allows him or her to complete an electrical project at a home he or she owns and lives in.
- (D) ~~A Class III~~ journeyman license shall entitle the holder thereof to undertake to execute or perform any work of installing, maintaining, altering, or repairing electrical equipment, provided he or she is employed by the holder of a ~~Class I~~ an electrical contractor license.
- (E) ~~A Class IV~~ An apprentice license shall be required for all apprentice electricians, who shall be under the direct supervision of the holder of a ~~Class I~~ an electrical contractor license or the holder of a ~~Class III~~ journeyman license. The ~~Class IV~~ apprentice license shall entitle the holder thereof to assist in the installing, maintaining, and repairing of electrical equipment.

SECTION XXX.XX DEFINITIONS

ELECTICAL CONTRACTOR. An electrical contractor is to be considered any individual who, for hire, does himself or herself or by employing others to do the same on his or her behalf installs alters, repairs, replaces, designs or performs any other work to an electrical system.